COLLECTIVE EXPERT APPRAISAL:
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

regarding the expert appraisal for recommending occupational exposure limits for chemical agents
concerning the assessment of measurement methods for two substances listed in the appendix of the European Directive (EU) 2019/983

This document summarises the work of the Expert Committee on "Health Reference Values" (HRV Committee) and the Working Group on Metrology.

Presentation of the issue

Prior to the transposition of European occupational exposure limits (OEL) into French law, ANSES is mandated by the Ministry of Labour to conduct an assessment of the measurement methods available for the substances listed in the European Directives.

Scientific and legal background

European objectives, intended to protect workers from risks associated with exposure to chemical agents, are set via European directives, in particular in the form of occupational exposure limits (OELs).

Since the European Commission relies on recommendations issued by European scientific expert committees (SCOEL[1] or RAC[2]) for the establishment of European OELs, ANSES does not reassess the health effects of the substances in question when European directives establishing OELs are published.

However, given that neither SCOEL nor RAC undertakes in-depth assessments of the available measurement methods with regard to the European OELs, ANSES is asked to undertake these assessments so that the French Ministry of Labour can have all of the information necessary to establish the binding or indicative nature of the limit values in national law.

Directive (EU) 2019/983 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019, amending Directive 2004/37/EC, establishes a list of binding occupational exposure limit values for five new carcinogens and mutagens.
Of these five substances, beryllium, cadmium and formaldehyde were covered by a previous expert appraisal undertaken by ANSES to establish OELs and recommend measurement methods associated with these OEL proposals (Anses, 2010, 2018a, 2018b). Thus, the

[1] SCOEL: Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits
[2] RAC: Committee for Risk Assessment

measurement methods for these compounds were not reassessed as part of this expert appraisal, since these assessments are already available.

As part of the memorandum of understanding on occupational exposure limits and biological limit values (OELs and BLVs) established between the Ministry of Labour and ANSES, the Directorate General for Labour (DGT) mandated ANSES to undertake the metrological expert appraisal for the following substances only:

- 4,4′-Methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline) (or MOCA) (8h-OEL of 0.01 $mg.m^{-3}$)
- arsenic acid and its salts, as well as inorganic arsenic compounds (8h-OEL of 0.01 $mg.m^{-3}$, inhalable fraction)

Organisation of the expert appraisal

ANSES entrusted examination of this request to the Expert Committee on “Health Reference Values” (HRV Committee). The Agency also mandated the Working Group on Metrology.
The methodological and scientific aspects of the work of this group were regularly submitted to the Expert Committee.
This report has been prepared from metrology reports developed individually for each substance by the Working Group on Metrology. The Working Group reports take into account the additional observations and information provided by the members of the HRV Committee. In light of the question asked, the HRV Committee did not examine the relevance of the values laid down by the Directive.
This expert appraisal was therefore conducted by a group of experts with complementary skills. It was carried out in accordance with the French Standard NF X 50-110 “Quality in Expertise Activities”.

Description of the method

An assessment report of the measurement methods was prepared by the Working Group on Metrology for each substance and submitted to the HRV Committee, for comments and validation.
Each assessment report presents the various protocols for measuring the respective substance in workplace atmospheres grouped together based on the methods they use. These methods were then assessed and classified based on the performance requirements set out particularly in the French Standard NF EN 482: "Workplace atmospheres - General requirements for the performance of procedures for the measurement of chemical agents" and the decision-making criteria listed in the methodology report (Anses, 2020a). A list of the main sources consulted is detailed in the methodology report (Anses, 2020a).
These methods were classified as follows:

- category 1A: validated methods (all of the performance criteria are met);
- category 1B: partially validated methods (the essential performance criteria are met);
- category 2: indicative methods (essential criteria for validation are not clear enough or else the method requires adjustments that need to be validated);
- category 3: the methods are not recommended (essential criteria for validation are lacking or inappropriate). This category encompasses unsuitable methods for which essential validation criteria have not been met, and non-assessable methods (falling in category 3(*)) for which essential validation criteria have not been documented.

NB: For the measurement of aerosols and substances in mixed phases, an initial classification is established with regard to the performance criteria for sampling methods. A second classification is then established with regard to the performance criteria for analytical methods. The final classification of the method corresponds to the least favourable of these two classifications.

A detailed comparative study of the methods in Categories 1A, 1B and 2 was conducted with respect to their various validation data and technical feasibility, in order to recommend the most suitable method(s) for measuring concentrations for comparison with OELs.

This overall report was prepared from metrology reports developed individually for each substance. The details concerning the adoption of each measurement method assessment report are given in the following table.

Table 1: Adoption dates of the individual reports by the Working Group on Metrology and by the HRV Committee

Substance		Adoption date	
Name	**CAS number**	**By the WG**	**By the HRV Committee**
4,4'-Methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline) (or MOCA)	101-14-4	16/04/2020	14/05/2020
Arsenic acid and its salts, as well as inorganic arsenic compounds	-	07/05/2020	26/06/2020

The overall report, as well as the summary and conclusions of the collective expert appraisal, were adopted by the HRV Committee for public consultation on 26/06/2020.

Results of the collective expert appraisal

Assessment of the measurement methods for MOCA

Three methods for measuring MOCA in workplace air were identified and assessed:

- method 1: active sampling on glass fibre filters impregnated with sulphuric acid (H_2SO) - Solvent extraction - Analysis by GC/ECD (OSHA 71);
- method 2: active sampling through an impinger containing H_2SO_4 - Analysis by GC/FID or GC/N-FID (Blome-1984);
- method 3: active sampling on glass fibre filter impregnated with sulphuric acid - Solvent extraction - Analysis by HPLC/UV (HSE MDHS 75/2).

Method 1 provides complete validation data. The conditions described by the OSHA 71 protocol enable the range of 0.1 to 2*8h-OEL to be covered, but with sampling for 100 minutes at the rate of 1 $L \cdot min^{-1}$. However, the sampling device proposed in the OSHA 71 protocol (CFC alone) is not recommended for sampling the inhalable fraction. Method 1 was therefore classified in category 3.

Method 2 was classified in category 3 due to a sampling device unable to sample the inhalable fraction of aerosols and because most of the essential validation criteria are missing.

Despite a sampling device capable of sampling the inhalable fraction, method 3 was classified in category $3^{(*)}$ due to incomplete validation data.

Assessment of the measurement methods for arsenic acid and its salts, as well as inorganic arsenic compounds:

The assessment of methods for measuring "arsenic acid and its salts and inorganic arsenic compounds" in workplace air was divided into three separate assessments with respect to the form of the arsenic compounds of concern, namely:

- arsenic (total) and its non-volatile salts (i.e., particulate arsenic),
- arsenic (As) and arsenic trioxide (As_2O_3) jointly,
- arsane (AsH_3, better known as arsine, the name used later in this document) (gaseous compound), alone or jointly with arsenic and arsenic trioxide.

Total arsenic and its non-volatile salts

Six methods for measuring total arsenic in workplace air were assessed:

- method 1: active sampling on filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by ICP-AES (NF ISO 15202-1, -2, -3, NIOSH 7300, NIOSH 7301, NIOSH 7302, NIOSH 7303, NIOSH 7304, INRS MétroPol M122, INRS MétroPol M124, NF X 43-275, NIOSH 7306, INRS MétroPol M125);
- method 2a: active sampling on filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by ICP–MS (NF ISO 30011, OSHA 1006, IRSST 394);
- method 3: active sampling on filter, analysis by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (HSE MDHS 91/2);
- method 4a: active sampling on filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by electrothermal / graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (ETA-AAS) (DGUV Information 213-503 Method 04, IFA 6195, INRS MétroPol M 120, NF X 43-275);
- method 5a: active sampling on filters, acid mineralisation and analysis by atomic absorption spectrometry with flame arsine generation (NIOSH 7900);
- method 7: active sampling on filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) (INRS MétroPol M-121, NF X 43-275).

Method 3 was classified in category 3 because the sampling device is not compliant for the inhalable fraction and the analytical method is unable to cover the range of 0.1 to 2*8h-OEL.
Methods 4a, 5a and 7 were classified in category 3(*) for regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL due to:

- a sampling device not assessed with regard to the inhalable fraction and a partially validated analytical method (method 4a);
- the absence of essential validation criteria, in particular uncertainties and the recovery rate (methods 5a and 7).

Method 1 provides complete validation data that were obtained by spiking materials and therefore do not take the mineralisation rate into account. It was classified in category 2, despite an analytical method complying with the main requirements of the NF EN 482 standard, due to indicative sampling devices for the inhalable fraction.
Method 2a provides complete validation data obtained by spiking numerous collection media but also by mineralisation of certified solid samples (urban dust). This method was classified in category 2, despite a fully validated analytical method complying with the requirements of the NF EN 482 standard, due to indicative sampling devices for the inhalable fraction.

Arsenic (As) and diarsenic trioxide (As_2O_3)

Three methods for the joint measurement of arsenic and arsenic trioxide (as total As) in workplace air were assessed:

- method 2b: active sampling on filter + impregnated backup pad, acid mineralisation and extraction, then analysis by ICP–MS (OSHA 1006);
- method 4b: active sampling on impregnated filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by electrothermal / graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (ETA-AAS) (NIOSH 7901, DGUV Information 213-503 Method 04);
- method 5b: active sampling on impregnated filters, acid mineralisation and analysis by atomic absorption spectrometry with hydride production (ISO 11041:1996, INSHT MTA/MA-035/A96, INRS MétroPol M-283).

Methods 2b and 4b were classified in category 3 for regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL, because the sampling devices are not compliant for the inhalable fraction and the analytical method is indicative due to partial validation data. It should be possible to implement these methods with a sampling device such as IOM, GSP 3.5, 7-hole or Button that is recommended for sampling the inhalable fraction and can be used with impregnated filters, subject to validation.
Method 5b (atomic absorption spectrometry with hydride production), described in two protocols (INSHT MTA/MA-035/A96 and MétroPol M-283) and one standard (ISO 11041:1996), was classified in category 2 for regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL. Although the analytical method provides complete validation data through the standard and the INSHT protocol (obtained by spiking with solution considering an air volume of 960 L) and complies with most of

the requirements of the NF EN 482 standard, the sampling device for the inhalable fraction is not specified. The indicative sampling devices for the inhalable fraction that can be used with impregnated filters and are compatible with the 960 L air volume, i.e. IOM, GSP, 7-hole and Button, could be implemented subject to validation.

Arsine

Two methods for the measurement of arsine (as total As) in workplace air were assessed, one for the sampling of arsine (method 4c) and the other for the joint sampling of arsine, arsenic and arsenic trioxide (method 6):

- method 4c : active sampling on an adsorbent tube, acid desorption and analysis by electrothermal / graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (ETA-AAS) (NIOSH 6001);
- method 6 : active joint sampling of arsenic, diarsenic trioxide and arsine on impregnated filters, acid mineralisation and analysis by ICP – AES (INRS MétroPol M-134).

Method 4c provides partial validation data. It is able to cover the range of 0.1 to 2*8h-OEL with an air volume of 10 L. However, the recovery and desorption rates were determined for a higher range of concentrations, and sampling interferences as well as recovery rates after storage are not specified. Measurement method 4c was therefore classified in category 2 for regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL when only arsine is sampled.

Method 6 was classified in category 3 for regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL, because the sampling device is not compliant for the inhalable fraction and certain essential validation data such as uncertainty data are missing.

The Expert Committee on “Health reference values” (HRV Committee) adopted the collective expert appraisal work and its conclusions and recommendations, which are covered in the accompanying report, at its meeting of 26 June 2020 for public consultation.

Conclusions and recommendations of the collective expert appraisal

MOCA

The assessment of the applicable reference methods for the measurement of occupational exposure levels for MOCA found that none of the three identified measurement methods is recommended for the regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL.
However, the use of a device recommended for sampling the inhalable fraction of aerosols (see ANSES, 2020b) and enabling the use of a filter impregnated with sulphuric acid and compatible with the analytical method described in the OSHA 71 protocol[3] should enable MOCA concentrations to be measured for comparison with the 8h-OEL, subject to validation.

Arsenic acid and its salts, as well as inorganic arsenic compounds

For total arsenic and its non-volatile salts, two measurement methods classified in category 2 are recommended for the regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL.
For the measurement of arsenic and As_2O_3, one measurement method classified in category 2, is recommended for the regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL.
For arsine, one measurement method classified in Category 2 is recommended for the regulatory technical control of the 8h-OEL.

The table below summarises the measurement methods recommended by the HRV Committee for all these substances.

[3] Analysis by gas chromatography detection ECD after solubilisation and derivatisation steps with heptafluorobutyric anhydride (HFAA)

Table 1 : Measurement methods recommended by the HRV Committee

Identification of the substance			Principle of the recommended method			Implementation protocols (References)	Classification for 8h- OEL regulatory technical control	Additional information
Substance		CAS number						
MOCA		101-77-9	No recommended method					The use of a device recommended for sampling the inhalable fraction of aerosols (see ANSES, 2020b) and enabling the use of a filter impregnated with sulphuric acid and compatible with the analytical method described in the OSHA 71 protocol (solvent extraction, HFAA derivatisation, GC/ECD analysis) should enable MOCA concentrations to be measured for comparison with the 8h-OEL, subject to validation
Arsenic	Particulate arsenic and its non-volatile salts (in total As)	-	Active sampling on filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by ICP – AES	Quartz fibres, MCE or PVC membrane, capsules	Inhalable fraction sampler (sampler type not specified)	NF ISO 15202-1, -2 and -3	2	Sampling: The sampling devices are indicative of the inhalable fraction and therefore classified in category 2 with regard to their compliance with this conventional fraction. . Analysis: The analytical method is classified in category 1B.
				MCE or PVC membrane	CFC with wall deposits accounted	NIOSH 7300		
						NIOSH 7301		
				MCE membrane		NIOSH 7302		
						NIOSH 7303		
						INRS MétroPol M124		
				PVC membrane		NIOSH 7304		
				Quartz fibres		INRS MétroPol M122		
				MCE membrane	CFC + internal capsule	**NIOSH 7306**		
		-	Active sampling on filter, acid mineralisation and analysis by ICP–MS	MCE membrane	CFC with wall deposits accounted	**OSHA 1006**	2	Sampling: The sampling devices are indicative of the inhalable fraction and therefore classified in category 2 with regard to their compliance with this conventional fraction. Analysis: The analytical method is classified in category 1A under the conditions of the OSHA 1006 protocol for the regulatory control of the _8h-OEL.
					CFC + internal capsule	IRSST 394		

Identification of the substance		Principle of the recommended method			Implementation protocols (References)	Classification for 8h- OEL regulatory technical control	Additional information
Substance	**CAS number**						
As, As_2O_3 (in total As)	-	Active sampling on impregnated filters Acid mineralisation Analysis by atomic absorption spectrometry with hydride production	MCE membrane + Na_2CO_3 impregnated backup pad	Inhalable fraction sampler (sampler type not specified)	ISO 11041:1996	2	Sampling: In the absence of further information, the sampling device recommended in these two protocols is not classified; it is advisable to choose an inhalable fraction sampler recommended in the expert appraisal on dust without specific effects (DWSE) (ANSES, 2020b) that can be used with impregnated filters and is compatible with an air volume of 960 L (such as IOM, 7-hole, GSP 3.5 or Button). Analysis: The analytical method is classified in category 1B, provided that 960 L of air is sampled.
			Na_2CO_3 impregnated MCE membrane		INSHT MTA/MA-035/A96		
AsH_3 (in total As)	-	Active sampling on an adsorbent tube Acid mineralisation Analysis by electrothermal / graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (ETA-AAS)	Active charcoal tube (+MCE filter in front if particulate As is present)		NIOSH 6001	2	The method is classified in category 2 because the average recovery and desorption rates were determined by spiking for a range of concentrations above 0.1-2*OEL-8h. Sampling interferences are not provided. Storage data are documented but recovery rates after storage are not specified.

Bold: most informed protocols

Validation date of the summary by the Expert Committee: 26 June 2020.

Bibliographic references

AFNOR NF EN 482 +A1 (2015): Exposition sur les lieux de travail - Exigences générales concernant les performances des procédures de mesure des agents chimiques, Novembre 2015, 20p.

Anses (2010) - Valeurs limites d'exposition en milieu professionnel - Le béryllium et ses composés - Avis de l'Anses - Rapport d'expertise collective – Octobre 2010.

Anses (2018a) - Valeurs limites d'exposition en milieu professionnel - Le formaldéhyde - Avis de l'Anses - Rapport d'expertise collective – Février 2018.

Anses (2018b) - Valeurs limites d'exposition en milieu professionnel - Le cadmium et ses composés - Avis de l'Anses - Rapport d'expertise collective – Octobre 2018.

Anses (2020a) - Méthodologie d'évaluation des méthodes de mesure dans l'air des lieux de travail et l'air intérieur – Rapport d'expertise collective – Mars/Avril 2020.

Anses (2020b) - Valeurs limites d'exposition en milieu professionnel - Évaluation des méthodes de mesure dans l'air des lieux de travail et l'air intérieur - Poussières sans effet spécifique - Fractions inhalable et alvéolaire – Rapport d'expertise collective – Mars 2020 – rapport soumis à consultation publique

Directive (UE) 2019/983 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 5 avril 2019, modifiant la directive 2004/37/CE concernant la protection des travailleurs contre les risques liés à l'exposition à des agents cancérigènes ou mutagènes au travail (Texte présentant de l'intérêt pour l'EEE).

Measurement protocols for MOCA : (date of inventory: october 2018, update march 2020)

HSE MDHS 75/2 (2014), Methods for the Determination of Hazardous Substances (MDHS) - Aromatic amines in air and on surfaces - Laboratory method using pumped acid coated filters, moistened swabs and HPLC, 7p (https://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/mdhs/pdfs/mdhs75-2.pdf, consulté le 27/03/2020).

OSHA (2019) - Sampling and Analytical Methods / Withdrawn Methods - https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/withdrawn.html, consulté le 27/03/2020.

OSHA 71 (April 1988, updated July 1989) OSHA Sampling and analytical methods –o-Dianisidine – 4,4' Methylenebis(2-Chloroaniline) (OCA) – o-tolidine. https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org071/org071.html, consulté le 15/10/2018).

OSHA ORG-24 (February 1981) - 4,4'-METHYLENE-BIS(O-CHLOROANILINE) [MOCA] – Withdrawn December 2019 - Provided for Historical Reference Only - https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/archive/org024/org024.pdf, consulté le 27/03/2020

Blome, H. (2012). 4,4'-Methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline) [Air Monitoring Methods, 1991]. In The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety (eds and). doi:10.1002/3527600418.am10114e0001, consulté le 15/10/2018.

DGUV Information 213-538 (BGI 505-38) - Method for the determination of 4,4'-Methylene-bis(2-Chloroaniline) - issue August 1987 - published in the series Analyses of Hazardous Substances in Air, Vol. 7 (2003). The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety (eds and). doi:10.1002/3527600418.am10114e0007, consulté le 15/10/2018.

DGUV Information 213-599 - Allgemeiner Teil Übersicht über die Analysenverfahren der DGUV Information 213-5xx-Reihe - Von den Unfallversicherungsträgern anerkannte Analysenverfahren zur Feststellung der Konzentrationen krebserzeugender, erbgutverändernder oder fortpflanzungsgefährdender Stoffe in der Luft in Arbeitsbereichen –

Dezember 2015 – Berlin – 67p (https://publikationen.dguv.de/widgets/pdf/download/article/2976, consulté le 27/03/2020).

Measurement protocols - Arsenic acid and its salts, as well as inorganic arsenic compounds (date of inventory: september 2018, update march 2020)

DGUV Information 213-503 Method 04 - Verfahren zur Bestimmung von Arsen und seinen Verbindungen - Verfahren 04. Deutsche Gesetzliche Unfallversicherung e.V. (DGUV), Juli 2014, Berlin, 24p. (https://publikationen.dguv.de/widgets/pdf/download/article/165, consulté le 18/09/2018).

IFA 6195 - Arbeitsmappe Kennzahl: 6195 : Arsen und seine Verbindungen Lieferung: 01/2014 - IV/14. IFA (2014). 3p.

INSHT MTA/MA-035/A96 (1996) - Determinación de arsénico, de sus compuestos en forma particulada y de vapores de trióxido de arsénico en aire - Método de generación de hidruros / Espectrofotometría de absorción atómica – 1996 – 13p. (https://www.insst.es/documents/94886/359043/MA_035_A96.pdf/3c16566c-b8b4-4fd1-853c-86f4ae471e8ehttp://www.insht.es/InshtWeb/Contenidos/Documentacion/FichasTecnicas/MetodosAnalisis/Ficheros/MA/MA_022_A91.pdf, consulté le 2118/03/2019)

INRS MétroPol M-283 (2016). Arsenic et Trioxyde de di-arsenic. (http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_283-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_283.pdf, consulté le 18/03/2019).

INRS MétroPol M-134 (2016). Arsenic-arsine-phosphine-stibine. (http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_134-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_134.pdf, consulté le 18/03/2019)

INRS MétroPol M-120 (2015) - Métaux et métalloïdes - Prélèvement en mode Actif sur cassette et analyse par spectrométrie d'absorption atomique avec atomisation electrothermique (http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_120-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_120.pdf, consulté en mars 2020)

INRS MétroPol M-121 (2015) - Métaux et métalloïdes - Prélèvement en mode Actif sur cassette et analyse par spectrométrie d'absorption atomique avec flame (http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_121-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_121.pdf, consulté en mars 2020)

INRS MétroPol M-122 (2015) - Métaux et métalloïdes - Prélèvement en mode Actif sur cassette et analyse par spectrométrie d'émission a plasma (http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_122-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_122.pdf, consulté en mars 2020)

INRS MétroPol M-124 (2015) - Métaux et métalloïdes - Prélèvement en mode Actif sur cassette et analyse par spectrométrie d'émission a plasma (http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_124-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_124.pdf, consulté en mars 2020)

INRS MétroPol M-125 (2016) - Métaux et métalloïdes - prélèvement en mode Actif sur cassette avec AccuCap® et analyse par spectrométrie d'émission à plasma, http://www.inrs.fr/dms/metropol/FicheMetropol/METROPOL_125-1/FicheMetropol-METROPOL_125.pdf, consulté en mars 2020)

ISO 11041 (1996). Air des lieux de travail — Dosage de l'arsenic particulaire, des composés particulaires de l'arsenic et des vapeurs de trioxyde d'arsenic — Méthode par production d'hydrures et spectrométrie d'absorption atomique.

NF EN 13890 (Novembre 2009) – Exposition sur les lieux de travail - Procédures pour le mesurage des métaux et métalloïdes dans les particules en suspension dans l'air - Exigences et méthodes d'essai

NF ENV 13005 Août 1999. Annulée le 12/04/2014 Guide pour l'expression de l'incertitude de mesure.

NF ISO 15202-1 : Juillet 2012 - Air des lieux de travail – Détermination des métaux et métalloïdes dans les particules en suspension dans l'air par spectrométrie d'émission atomique avec plasma à couplage inductif – Partie 1 : Échantillonnage

NF ISO 15202-2 : mars 2012 - Air des lieux de travail – Détermination des métaux et métalloïdes dans les particules en suspension dans l'air par spectrométrie d'émission atomique avec plasma à couplage inductif – Partie 2 : Préparation des échantillons

NF ISO 15202-3 : décembre 2005 - Air des lieux de travail – Détermination des métaux et métalloïdes dans les particules en suspension dans l'air par spectrométrie d'émission atomique avec plasma à couplage inductif – Partie 3 : Analyse

NF ISO 30011 : décembre 2010 - Air des lieux de travail – Détermination des métaux et métalloïdes dans les particules en suspension dans l'air par spectrométrie de masse avec plasma à couplage inductif

NF X 43-275 : juin 2002 - Qualité de l'air - Air des lieux de travail - Dosage d'éléments présents dans l'air des lieux de travail par spectrométrie atomique

NIOSH 6001 (1994), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition, Arsine. Method 6001, Issue 2 dated 15 August 1994 (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/6001.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7300 (2003), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition, Elements by ICP (Nitric/Perchloric Acid Ashing). Method 7300, issue 3 : dated 15 March 2003 (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7300.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7301 (2003), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition, Elements by ICP (Aqua Regia Ashing) Method 7301, issue 3 dated 15 March 2003 (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7301.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7302 (2014), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fifth Edition, Elements by ICP (Microwave Digestion) Method 7302, issue 1 dated 21 July 2014 (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7302.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7303 (2003), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition, Elements by ICP (Hot Block/HCl/HNO3 Digestion), Method 7303, issue 1 dated 15 March 2003 (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7303.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7304 (2014), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fifth Edition, Elements by ICP (Microwave Digestion) Method 7304, issue 1 dated 25 May 2014 (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7304.pdfhttp://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7302.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7306 (2015), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fifth Edition, Elements by Cellulosic Internal Capsule Sampler, Method 7306, issue 1 dated 10 September 2015 (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7306.pdfhttp://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7302.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7900 (1994), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition. Arsenic and compounds, as As (except AsH3 and As2O3), Method 7900, issue 2 dated 15 August 1994 (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7900.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

NIOSH 7901 (1994), NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition. Arsenic trioxide, as As, Method 7901, issue 2 dated 15 August 1994 (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2003-154/pdfs/7901.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

HSE MDHS 91/2– Methods for the Determination of Hazardous Substances (MDHS) guidance – Metals and metalloids in air by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry – february 2015 (http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/mdhs/pdfs/mdhs91-2.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

OSHA 1006 - OSHA Sampling and analytical methods – Arsenic, Cadmium, Cobalt, Copper, Lead, and Nickel (Open Vessel Microwave Digestion/ICP-MS Analysis) - Method 1006, January 2005 (https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/mdt/mdt1006/1006.pdf, consulté le 15/10/2018)

IRSST MA 394 - Méthode analytique. Détermination de la concentration des métaux dans l'air par ICP-MS – Prélèvement effectué sur filtre encapsulé digérable : Solu-Sert™. 7p (http://www.irsst.qc.ca/media/documents/PubIRSST/MA-394.pdf?v=2018-07-26, consulté le 18/03/2019).